### TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

# **PCT**

### RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ

(chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Voir le point 4 ci-dessous

Athina Nickitas-Etienne

e-mail: pt04@wipo.int

POUR SUITE À DONNER

1783PC ATOR		
emande internationale no. CT/FR2004/003169	Date du dépôt international (jour/moiss 09 December 2004 (09.12.2004)	Vannée) Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)
assification internationale des brev pir les informations pertinentes dar	rets (8 <sup>e</sup> edition, sauf indication d'une #dition se le formulaire PCT/ISA/237	on ant#rieure)
éposant		
RKEMA FRANCE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	•	
	•	
	re international sur la brevetabilité (chap a recherche internationale selon la règle 4	itre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de 4bis.1.a).
	•	
2. Ce RAPPORT comprend un	total de 5 feuilles, y compris la présente f	euille de couverture.
Dans les feuilles jointes, tout	e référence à l'opinion écrite de l'admini	stration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être ernational sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).
entendue, a la piace, comme	une reference au rapport premimaire mu	mational sur la dieveladime (chapitie 1).
3. Le présent rapport contient d	es indications relatives aux points suivan	is:
Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion	
☐ Cadre n° Π	Priorité	
Cadre nº III	Absence de formulation d'opinion d'application industrielle	quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité
Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention	
Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article possibilité d'application industriel	35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la le; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration
Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités	
Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées da	ns la demande internationale
Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à	a demande internationale
<u> </u>	•	•
<ol> <li>Le Bureau international com mais pas avant l'expiration d requête expresse à cet égard</li> </ol>	u délai de 30 mois à compter de la date d	désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 c priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une
		:
		etablissement du présent rapport gust 2006 (29.08.2006)
Bureau internatio	Foncti	onnaire autorisé
34, chemin des		Athina Nickitas-Etienne

no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70 Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) See Form PCT/ISA/210 Date of mailing (day/month/year) (sheet 2) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 21783PC ATOR See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 31.12.2003 PCT/FR2004/003169 09.12.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C08J5/18, B32B27/14, D06N7/00 Applicant **ARKEMA** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/003169

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion				
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language				
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under				
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a.	type of material				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b.	format of material				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c.	time of filing/furnishing				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
		Lamated subsequently to the respect of section				
3.	3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Addi	tional comments:				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/003169

Во			ile 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelly, inventive step or industrial applicability;  porting such statement	
1.	Statement			•
	Novelty (N)	Claims	9-14,17-20	YES
		Claims	1-8,15,16	. NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-20	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = US-A-4 504 528

D2 = WO-A-99/00344

D3 = US-A-3 962 373

D4 = JP-A-53-10 799

D5 = DE-A-42 25 952

D6 = WO - A - 99/52971

- 1. The subject matter of claims 1 to 8, 15 and 16 of the application does not appear to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)) compared with the laminates, comprising a layer of fluoropolymer and a sheet of carbon fibres, and the process for preparing them that are disclosed in documents D1 and D3 to D5 (see the passages cited in the search report).
- 2. The subject matter of claims 9 to 14 and 17 to 20 do not seem to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the person skilled in the art, since this subject matter follows in an obvious manner from the prior art: D1 teaches the use of laminates comprising a layer of fluoropolymer and a sheet of carbon fibres as coatings

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY.

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003169

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(liners) in corrosive media (see in particular, column 3, lines 14 to 22), the corrosive media described in D2 constituting merely one example thereof. A person skilled in the art would therefore implement the claimed subject matter in the course of his routine activities seeking a useful application of the teaching of document D1.

3. Should the applicant file amendments with the International Bureau in accordance with PCT Article 19(1), they must take care in order to ensure that the amendments in question do not extend the subject matter of the application beyond the content of the application as filed, in accordance with the provisions of PCT Article 19(2).